

## REMARKS

This paper is responsive to the final Office Action dated August 21, 2003. Claims 1-35 are pending.

Claims 1-7, 9-16, 18-25, 27-33 and 35 were rejected as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,477,529 (hereinafter, "Mousseau") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,115,754 (hereinafter, "Landgren").

Mousseau pertains to an apparatus and method for dynamically limiting information sent to a viewing device. As conceded on page 3 of the Action, Mousseau does not teach receiving a first server request for data and an identification of the type of wireless device type transmitted over the wireless network from the wireless device and received by the first server. Landgren is relied on for allegedly disclosing such operation.

Landgren is directed to a system and method of operation for appending location information regarding a mobile unit to communications sent from the mobile unit to a server coupled to the internet (see Landgren, col. 3, lines 1-12). In an example of the operation of the system, a location appending unit 102 identifies a communication having a predetermined registered URI, appends location information to the communication, and then allows a gateway 106 to forward the communication (col. 5, lines 52-65). In another operation, the appending unit 102 identifies communications that include requested location information, determines the location information and then appends it to that communication (col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 4). The applicants respectfully assert that such operation does not involve an identification of a wireless device type as required in independent claims 1, 10, 19 and 28.

The applicants note that, on pages 3-4 of the Office Action it is asserted that:

"Landgren discloses transmitting an identification of a wireless device type transmitted from the wireless device (the office takes 'identification of a wireless device type' can be broadly construed as any data which can specifically identify the particular device amongst various other devices)(mobie [sic, "mobile"] identification number, MIN), which can be used to identify the mobile unit (col. 8, lines 43-55)".

Applicants reviewed this cited section of Landgren in context with the surrounding description, and found a discussion concerning Fig. 3 concerning identifying a particular mobile unit so that a subscriber can be identified. In particular, Landgren teaches that


such operation is instituted only if a communication includes a pre-registered URI (step 308) which means that some service, such as a mapping or weather service, put in a request for such location information to be appended. The mobile unit is identified so that the subscriber can be identified in order to determine if permission was given to post such location information (see col. 8, lines 56- 65). The applicant respectfully asserts that Landgren thus teaches a different operation which is not equivalent to identification of a wireless device type. The present invention requires identification of the device type in order to correctly pause the requested data to remove data that cannot be displayed on that type of device. Accordingly, independent claims 1, 10, 19 and 28 are patentably distinct from Mousseau and Langdren, either individually or in combination. Since claims 2-7, 9, 11-16, 18, 20-25, 27, 29-33 and 35 all directly or indirectly depend upon these independent claims, they should be allowable for at least the same reasons. Thus, the applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 103() rejections of claims 1-7, 9-16, 18-25, 27-33 and 35.

Claims 8, 17, 26 and 34 were rejected as being unpatentable over Mousseau in view of Landgren and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,173,316 (hereinafter, "De Boor"). Claims 8, 17, 26, and 34 should be allowable at least because the claims from which they depend are allowable. Thus, reconsideration and allowance of claims 8, 17, 27, and 34 are requested.

In view of the above remarks, the applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections. An early indication of allowance of all of the pending claims is also requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 20 FEBRUARY 2004

  
Stephan J. Filipek      Reg. No.: 33,384  
for Allan A. Fanucci    Reg. No.: 30,256  
**WINSTON & STRAWN LLP**  
Customer number 28765  
(212) 294-6700